1 2 3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS OFFICE TAX ADMINISTRATION ACT
4 5 6 7	IN THE MATTER OF THE PROTEST OF CANDICE S. DUBOIS & GUILLERMO CASTRO-GARCIA TO THE RETURN ADJUSTMENT NOTICE ISSUED UNDER LETTER ID NO. L2044333744
8	v. AHO No. 21.02-007R, D&O No. 21-11
9	NEW MEXICO TAXATION AND REVENUE DEPARTMENT
10	DECISION AND ORDER
11	On April 15, 2021, Hearing Officer Dee Dee Hoxie, Esq. conducted a videoconference
12	hearing on the merits of the protest of Candice Dubois (Taxpayer <sup>1</sup> ) to the return adjustment notice.
13	The Taxation and Revenue Department (Department) was represented by Kenneth Fladager, Staff
14	Attorney, who appeared by videoconference. Alma Tapia, Auditor, also appeared by videoconference
15	on behalf of the Department. The Taxpayer appeared by telephone and represented herself. The
16	Taxpayer and Ms. Tapia testified. The Hearing Officer took notice of all documents in the
17	administrative file. No exhibits were submitted.
18	The main issue to be decided is whether the Taxpayer is entitled to claim her grandchildren
19	as dependents. The Hearing Officer considered all of the evidence and arguments presented by both
20	parties. Because the Taxpayer's grandchildren reside with her full-time and the Taxpayer is their
21	sole means of support, the Hearing Officer finds in favor of the Taxpayer. IT IS DECIDED AND

23

22

# FINDINGS OF FACT

ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Throughout this decision, references to the Taxpayer include her husband, who was also named on the return adjustment notice and whose name appears in the caption of this case. The Taxpayer's husband was tragically killed in a motor vehicle accident in 2019.

1	1. On August 4, 2020, under letter id. no. L2044333744, the Department issued a return
2	adjustment notice to the Taxpayer. The Taxpayer's refund claim for the 2019 tax year was reduced
3	to \$965.42 because the Department disallowed the Taxpayer's claim of her grandchildren as
4	dependents. [Admin. file L2044333744].
5	2. The difference between the Taxpayer's claimed refund and the return adjustment
6	amount is \$588.00. [Testimony of Ms. Tapia].
7	3. On August 12, 2020, the Taxpayer filed a timely written protest to the return
8	adjustment notice. [Admin. file protest].
9	4. On August 31, 2020, the Department acknowledged its receipt of the protest.
10	[Admin. file L2091470512].
11	5. On February 22, 2021, the Department filed a request for hearing with the
12	Administrative Hearings Office. [Admin. file request].
13	6. On March 12, 2021, a telephonic scheduling hearing was conducted, which was
14	within 90 days of the request as required by statute. [Admin. file].
15	7. The Taxpayer is a resident of New Mexico and is subject to the personal income tax
16	(PIT). [Admin. file; Testimony of Taxpayer; Testimony of Ms. Tapia].
17	8. The Taxpayer filed a PIT return for the 2019 tax year and claimed an exemption for
18	her four grandchildren as dependents. [Admin. file; Testimony of Taxpayer; Testimony of Ms.
19	Tapia].
20	9. The Taxpayer is the grandparent of the children that she claimed as dependents on her
21	PIT return. [Admin. file; Testimony of Taxpayer; Testimony of Ms. Tapia].
22	10. The grandchildren are minors who attend a charter school in Santa Fe, New Mexico.
23	[Testimony of Taxpayer; Admin file].
24	11. The Department follows the federal statutes for determining whether someone is a
25	dependent for income tax purposes. [Testimony of Ms. Tapia].
	Candice S. Dubois & Guillermo Castro-Garcia Case No. 21.01-007R page 2 of 9

1 12. The Taxpayer's daughter is the mother of the grandchildren. [Testimony of
 2 Taxpayer].

In 2017, the Children, Youth, and Families Department (CYFD) became involved in
the grandchildren's lives. Their involvement concluded when the grandchildren were placed into the
Taxpayer's custody. [Testimony of Taxpayer].

6 14. The Taxpayer provided the Department with a copy of the notarized letter from her
7 daughter that gave the Taxpayer the power to make educational and medical decisions on behalf of
8 the grandchildren. [Testimony of Taxpayer; Testimony of Ms. Tapia].

9 15. The notarized letter did not mention financial decisions. [Testimony of Ms. Tapia].

10 16. The Taxpayer also provided copies of the grandchildren's birth certificates and social
security cards. [Testimony of Ms. Tapia].

12 17. Since June 2017, the grandchildren have resided full-time with the Taxpayer.
13 [Admin. file; Testimony of Taxpayer].

14 18. Since they moved in with the Taxpayer, the Taxpayer has provided their full-time
15 care, including taking them to school, meeting with teachers and counselors, taking them to the
16 doctor, housing them, clothing them, and feeding them. [Admin. file; Testimony of Taxpayer].

17 19. Since they moved in with the Taxpayer, the Taxpayer has provided their full18 financial support. [Testimony of Taxpayer].

19 20. The Taxpayer has never received any financial assistance from her daughter or the20 grandchildren's father. [Testimony of Taxpayer].

21

## DISCUSSION

# 22 Burden of proof.

The burden is on the Taxpayer to prove that she is entitled to an exemption or deduction. *See Public Services Co. v. N.M. Taxation and Revenue Dep 't.*, 2007-NMCA-050, ¶ 32, 141 N.M. 520.

1 See also Till v. Jones, 1972-NMCA-046, 83 N.M. 743. "Where an exemption or deduction from tax 2 is claimed, the statute must be construed strictly in favor of the taxing authority, the right to the 3 exemption or deduction must be clearly and unambiguously expressed in the statute, and the right 4 must be clearly established by the taxpayer." Sec. Escrow Corp. v. State Taxation and Revenue 5 Dep't., 1988-NMCA-068, ¶ 8, 107 N.M. 540. See also Wing Pawn Shop v. Taxation and Revenue 6 Dep't., 1991-NMCA-024, ¶ 16, 111 N.M. 735. See also Chavez v. Commissioner of Revenue, 1970-7 NMCA-116, ¶ 7, 82 N.M. 97. See also Pittsburgh and Midway Coal Mining Co. v. Revenue 8 Division, 1983-NMCA-019, 99 N.M. 545.

### 9 **Deduction for a dependent.**

10 Every resident is subject to a tax on her net income. See NMSA 1978, § 7-2-3 (1981). Net 11 income excludes exemptions claimed under the Internal Revenue Code. See NMSA 1978, § 7-2-2 12 (N) (2014). The Internal Revenue Code allows for exemptions from income on claimed dependents. 13 See 26 USCA § 151. See also 26 USCA § 152 (2017) (defining dependent). See also NMSA 1978, 14 § 7-2-39 (2019) (indicating that a dependent is defined by the Internal Revenue Code). A dependent may be the child or grandchild<sup>2</sup> of the person claiming the exemption. See 26 USCA § 152. In 15 16 general, to claim a child as a dependent, five criteria must be met. See id. The dependent must be 17 the taxpayer's child or grandchild, the dependent must have "the same principal place of abode as the 18 taxpayer for more than one-half of such taxable year", the dependent must not be past a certain age, 19 the dependent must not have provided more than one-half of his or her own support, and the 20 dependent must not have filed a joint return with a spouse for that taxable year. Id.

The Department argues that the auditor really needs to see some court documents or the
CYFD paperwork to prove that the grandchildren are in the Taxpayer's custody. Ms. Tapia was
concerned that the Taxpayer did not have a formal custody agreement with the grandchildren's father

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> That is "a child of the taxpayer or a descendant of such a child". 26 USCA § 152.

1 and did not have an affidavit from him or her daughter stating that they agreed to allow the Taxpaver 2 to claim the grandchildren as her dependents on her taxes. Ms. Tapia felt that the Taxpayer should 3 have provided more documents to show that she is financially supporting her grandchildren, such as 4 insurance claims. Ms. Tapia also testified that the Taxpayer had not provided any documentation to 5 show that the grandchildren lived with her more than six months out of the year and denied that the 6 Taxpayer provided statements from people at the grandchildren's school. In an exemplary show of 7 professionalism, Mr. Fladager immediately advised that the notarized statements were part of the 8 protest and had been included in the documents when the request for hearing was filed. Ms. Tapia 9 was then able to refresh her memory and explained that she had seen the statements, but she had not 10 reviewed them.

The first criterion is that the dependent is the child or grandchild of the taxpayer. See 26
USCA § 152. The Taxpayer testified that the dependents claimed are her grandchildren. There was
no dispute that the claimed dependents are the Taxpayer's grandchildren. Therefore, the first
criterion for claiming a dependent is satisfied. See id.

15 The second criterion is that the dependent has the same residence as the taxpayer for more 16 than half of the year. See id. The Taxpayer testified that her grandchildren live with her full-time 17 and have since 2017. The Taxpayer's testimony was credible on this issue, particularly as it was 18 supported by four notarized statements from employees of the charter school where the grandchildren 19 attend. The first was from a doctor at the charter school. [Admin. file]. The doctor attests that the 20 Taxpayer is the sole guardian of the grandchildren and that they live with the Taxpayer full-time. 21 [Admin. file]. The doctor has known the family for years, has visited their home several times, and 22 is in touch with the Taxpayer on a regular basis. [Admin. file].

The second statement is from a school counselor at the charter school. [Admin. file]. The
counselor attests that the Taxpayer lives at her address with her grandchildren full-time. [Admin.

file]. The counselor is familiar with the family and has witnessed the Taxpayer caring for her
 grandchildren in the wake of the tragic loss of her husband. [Admin. file].

The third statement is from a teacher at the charter school. [Admin. file]. The teacher is familiar with the grandchildren, and three of them have been students in her classes. [Admin. file]. The teacher knows that the Taxpayer attends all of the parent-teacher conferences for her grandchildren, that the Taxpayer is the point of contact for anything related to her grandchildren, and that the grandchildren live with the Taxpayer full-time. [Admin. file]. The teacher is aware that the school has provided food services to the grandchildren at the Taxpayer's residence. [Admin. file].

9 The final statement is from an instructor and advisor at the charter school. [Admin. file]. 10 The instructor verifies that the Taxpayer's address is the address listed on the grandchildren's school 11 records. [Admin. file]. The instructor also attests that she has been to the Taxpayer's residence to 12 drop off or pick up materials for the grandchildren, that the grandchildren reside there, and that the 13 Taxpayer is the only person who picks them up from school and attends school functions with them. 14 [Admin. file].

In addition to the Taxpayer's testimony and the corroborating notarized statements, the
Department acknowledged that the Taxpayer's daughter granted the Taxpayer the power to make
educational and medical decisions for the grandchildren. [Testimony of Ms. Tapia]. Based on the
totality of the evidence, there is sufficient proof that the grandchildren reside full-time with the
Taxpayer and have since 2017. Therefore, the second criterion for claiming a dependent is satisfied. *See* 26 USCA § 152.

The third criterion is that the dependent must be under the age of 19 at the beginning of the
taxable year or a student who is under the age of 24 at the end of the taxable year. *See id.* The
teacher's notarized statement indicated that the oldest grandchild was in her 10<sup>th</sup> grade class. [Admin
file]. The Taxpayer also provided copies of the grandchildren's birth certificates to the Department.
[Testimony of Taxpayer; Testimony of Ms. Tapia]. Consequently, there was no dispute that the
Candice S. Dubois & Guillermo Castro-Garcia
Case No. 21.01-007R
page 6 of 9

grandchildren are still school-age children and attend the charter school. Therefore, the
 grandchildren are below the age threshold required, and the third criterion for claiming a dependent
 was satisfied. *See* 26 USCA § 152.

4 The fourth criterion is that the dependent did not provide more than half of his or her own 5 support for the taxable year. See id. The Taxpayer testified that she provided the sole financial 6 support for her grandchildren. The Taxpayer testified that her daughter and her grandchildren's 7 father do not contribute financially. The Taxpayer testified that she takes care of all the educational, 8 medical, and physical needs of her grandchildren and has done so since they moved in with her in 9 2017. The Taxpayer is in possession of the grandchildren's birth certificates and social security 10 cards. [Testimony of the Taxpayer; Testimony of Ms. Tapia]. Again, the notarized statements from 11 the school employees corroborate the Taxpayer's claims. [Admin. file]. There was sufficient 12 evidence to establish that the Taxpayer provides full and sole financial support of the grandchildren, 13 which satisfies the fourth criterion for claiming a dependent. See 26 USCA § 152.

The final criterion is that the dependent did not file a joint return with a spouse for the taxable
year. *See id.* There was no evidence that any of the grandchildren filed a joint return with a spouse.
Consequently, the fifth and final criterion for claiming a dependent was satisfied. *See id.*

Since the Taxpayer provided sufficient evidence to satisfy all five criteria for claiming a
dependent, the Taxpayer has met her burden and established that she is entitled to claim her
grandchildren as her dependents on her PIT return. *See id.* Consequently, the Taxpayer's protest
should be granted.

21

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

A. The Taxpayer filed a timely, written protest of the Department's return adjustment
notice, and jurisdiction lies over the parties and the subject matter of this protest. *See* NMSA 1978, § 71B-8 (2019).

Candice S. Dubois & Guillermo Castro-Garcia Case No. 21.01-007R page 7 of 9

1	B. The hearing was timely set and held within 90 days of the request for hearing. <i>See id.</i>
2	C. The Taxpayer provided sufficient evidence to prove that she is entitled to claim her
3	grandchildren as dependents for an exemption on her net income. See 26 USCA §§ 151-152. See
4	NMSA 1978, § 7-2-2. See Public Services Co., 2007-NMCA-050, ¶ 32. See also Till, 1972-NMCA-
5	046. See also Sec. Escrow Corp., 1988-NMCA-068, ¶ 8. See also Wing Pawn Shop, 1991-NMCA-
6	024, $\P$ 16. See also Chavez, 1970-NMCA-116, $\P$ 7. See also Pittsburgh and Midway Coal Mining
7	Co., 1983-NMCA-019.
8	For the foregoing reasons, the Taxpayer's protest IS GRANTED. IT IS ORDERED that the
9	Department issue the additional \$588.00 refund to the Taxpayer.
10	DATED: May 5, 2021.
11	Dec Dec Houie
12	Dee Dee Hoxie
13	Hearing Officer
14	Administrative Hearings Office
15 16	P.O. Box 6400 Santa Fe, NM 87502
17	NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL
18	Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 7-1-25 (2015), the parties have the right to appeal this
19	decision by filing a notice of appeal with the New Mexico Court of Appeals within 30 days of the
20	date shown above. If an appeal is not timely filed with the Court of Appeals within 30 days, this
21	Decision and Order will become final. Rule of Appellate Procedure 12-601 NMRA articulates the
22	requirements of perfecting an appeal of an administrative decision with the Court of Appeals. Either
23	party filing an appeal shall file a courtesy copy of the appeal with the Administrative Hearings Office
24	contemporaneous with the Court of Appeals filing so that the Administrative Hearings Office may
25	begin preparing the record proper. The parties will each be provided with a copy of the record proper
26	at the time of the filing of the record proper with the Court of Appeals, which occurs within 14 days
27	of the Administrative Hearings Office receipt of the docketing statement from the appealing party.
28	See Rule 12-209 NMRA.

Candice S. Dubois & Guillermo Castro-Garcia Case No. 21.01-007R page 8 of 9

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	On May 5, 2021, a copy of the foregoing Decision and Order was submitted to the parties listed
3	below in the following manner:
4	Email and First Class Mail Email
5	INTENTIONALLY BLANK
6 7	John Griego
8	Legal Assistant
9	Administrative Hearings Office
10	P.O. Box 6400
11	Santa Fe, NM 87502